arXiv:1512.01448v1 [math.CO] 4 Dec 2015

Maximum Rank and Asymptotic Rank of Finite Dynamical Systems

Maximilien Gadouleau*

December 7, 2015

Abstract

A finite dynamical system is a system of multivariate functions over a finite alphabet. The main feature of a finite dynamical system is its interaction graph, which indicates which local functions depend on which variables. The rank of a finite dynamical system is the cardinality of its image; the asymptotic rank is the number of its periodic points. In this paper, we determine the maximum rank and the maximum asymptotic rank of a finite dynamical system with a given interaction graph over any non-Boolean alphabet. We also obtain a similar result for Boolean finite dynamical systems whose interaction graphs are contained in a given digraph.

1 Introduction

Finite Dynamical Systems (FDSs) have been used to represent a network of interacting entities as follows. A network of n entities has a state $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [q]^n$, represented by a q-ary variable $x_v \in [q] = \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ on each entity i, which evolves according to a deterministic function $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : [q]^n \to [q]^n$, where $f_v : [q]^n \to [q]$ represents the update of the local state x_v. FDSs have been used to model gene networks (see [11]), neural networks [10, 9], network coding [15], social interactions [13, 8] and more (see [7]).

The architecture of an FDS $f : [q]^n \to [q]^n$ can be represented via its **interaction graph** $\mathrm{IG}(f)$, which indicates which update functions depend on which variables. More formally, $\mathrm{IG}(f)$ has $\{1, \dots, n\}$ as vertex set and there is an arc from u to v if $f_v(x)$ depends on x_u. In different contexts, the interaction graph is known–or at least well approximated–, while the actual update functions are not. One main problem of research on FDSs is then to predict their dynamics according to their interaction graphs. However, due to the wide variety of possible local functions, determining properties of an FDS given its interaction graph is in general a difficult problem.

For instance, maximising the number of fixed points of an FDS based on its interaction graph was the subject of a lot of work, e.g. in [2, 15, 1, 14, 6]. The logarithm of the number of fixed points is notably upper bounded by the transversal number of its interaction graph [2, 15]. This upper bound is reached for large classes of graphs (e.g. perfect graphs) but is not tight in general [3]. Moreover, there is a dramatic change whether we assume that the FDS has an interaction graph equal to a certain digraph or only contained in that digraph (this is the distinction between guessing number and strict guessing number in [5]).

In this paper, we are interested in maximising two other very important dynamical parameters of an FDS given its interaction graph. First, the **rank** of an FDS f is the number of images of f. In particular, determining the maximum rank also determines whether there exists a bijective FDS with a given interaction graph. Second, because there are only a finite number of states, all the asymptotic points of f are periodic. The number of asymptotic (i.e. periodic) points of f is referred to as its **asymptotic rank**. In contrast with the situation for fixed points, we derive a bound on these two quantities which is attained for all interaction graphs and all alphabets. Moreover, we prove that our bound is attained for functions whose interaction graph is equal to a given digraph, and not only contained, for all non-Boolean alphabets.

*School of Engineering and Computing Sciences, Durham University, Durham, UK. Email: m.r.gadouleau@durham.ac.uk

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. Section 2 introduces some useful notation and describes our results. Section 3 then proves the upper bound by relating it to the communication model based on terms from logic of [16]. Section 4 then shows how to achieve this bound; this uses the results on independent walks on graphs from [12].

2 Results

Let $D = (V, E)$ be a digraph on n vertices; let $V = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be its set of vertices and $E \subseteq V^2$ its set of arcs. The digraph may have loops, but no repeated arcs. The adjacency matrix $M \in \{0,1\}^{n \to n}$ has entries $m_{u,v} = 1$ if and only if $(u, v) \in E$. We denote the in-neighbourhood of a vertex v in D by

$$N_{\text{in}}(v; D) = \{u \in V : (u, v) \in E\}.$$

When there is no confusion, we shall omit the dependence on D. This is extended to sets of vertices: $N_{\text{in}}(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N_{\text{in}}(v)$. The out-neighbourhood is defined similarly. A source is a vertex with empty in-neighbourhood; a sink is a vertex with empty out-neighbourhood.

A finite dynamical system is a function $f : [q]^n \to [q]^n$, where $[q] = \{0, 1, \ldots, q-1\}$ is a finite alphabet; we usually denote $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$, where $f_v : [q]^n \to [q]$. The **interaction graph** $\text{IG}(f)$ is the digraph on n vertices with vertices $V = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $(u, v) \in E(\text{IG}(f))$ if and only if f_v depends essentially on u, i.e. there exist $x, y \in [q]^n$ which only differ on coordinate u such that $f_v(x) \neq f_v(y)$. The set of all functions over an alphabet of size q and whose interaction graph is (contained in) D is denoted as

$$F(D, q) = \{f : [q]^n \to [q]^n : \text{IG}(f) \subseteq D\},$$
$$F[D, q] = \{f : [q]^n \to [q]^n : \text{IG}(f) = D\}.$$

We consider successive iterations of f; we thus denote $f^1(x) = f(x)$ and $f^{k+1}(x) = f(f^k(x))$ for all $k \geq 1$. We say x is an **asymptotic state** (also referred to as a periodic point) of f if there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^k(x) = x$. We are interested in the following quantities:

1. the **rank** of f is the number of its images: $\text{rk}(f) := |f([q]^n)|$;
2. the **scaled rank** of f is $\text{srk}(f) := \log_q \text{rk}(f)$;
3. the **asymptotic rank** of f is the number of asymptotic states of f: $\text{ark}(f) = \text{rk}(f^k)$ for any $k \geq q^n - 1$;
4. the **scaled asymptotic rate** of f is $\text{sark}(f) := \log_q \text{ark}(f)$.

A **walk** $w = (v_0, \ldots, v_p)$ is a sequence of (not necessarily distinct) vertices such that $(v_s, v_{s+1}) \in E$ for all $0 \leq s \leq p-1$. A path is a walk where all vertices are distinct. A cycle is a walk where only the first and last vertices are equal. We refer to p as the length of the walk; a p-walk is a walk of length p. We say that two walks $w = (w_0, \ldots, w_p), w' = (w'_0, \ldots, w'_p)$ are **independent** if $w_s \neq w'_s$ for all $0 \leq s \leq p$. We denote the maximum number of pairwise independent p-walks as $\alpha_p(D)$. We will see how to compute $\alpha_p(D)$ in Section 4.

Theorem 1. *For all D, p, and $q \geq 3$,*

$$\max\{\text{srk}(f^p) : f \in F[D, q]\} = \max\{\text{srk}(f^p) : f \in F(D, q)\} = \alpha_p(D).$$

For all D, p,

$$\max\{\text{srk}(f^p) : f \in F(D, 2)\} = \alpha_p(D).$$

Corollary 1 (Maximum rank)**.** *For all D and $q \geq 3$,*

$$\max\{\text{srk}(f) : f \in F[D, q]\} = \max\{\text{srk}(f) : f \in F(D, q)\} = \alpha_1(D).$$

For $q = 2$,

$$\max\{\text{srk}(f) : f \in F(D, 2)\} = \alpha_1(D).$$

Corollary 2 (Maximum asymptotic rank)**.** *For all D and $q \geq 3$,*

$$\max\{\mathrm{sark}(f) : f \in F[D,q]\} = \max\{\mathrm{srk}(f^n) : f \in F[D,q]\} = \alpha_n(D),$$
$$\max\{\mathrm{sark}(f) : f \in F(D,q)\} = \max\{\mathrm{srk}(f^n) : f \in F(D,q)\} = \alpha_n(D).$$

For $q = 2$,

$$\max\{\mathrm{sark}(f) : f \in F(D,2)\} = \max\{\mathrm{srk}(f^n) : f \in F(D,2)\} = \alpha_n(D).$$

The case $q = 2$ is indeed specific, for there exist graphs D such that $\max\{\mathrm{srk}(f^p) : f \in F[D,2]\} < \alpha_p(D)$ for all $p \geq 1$.

Proposition 1. *Let D be the undirected cycle on n vertices, or the directed cycle on n vertices with a loop on each vertex. Then for all $p \geq 1$, $\alpha_p(D) = n$ but $\mathrm{srk}(f^p) < n$ for all $f \in F[D,2]$.*

Proof. In either case, the vertex set of D can be covered by one directed cycle, hence $\alpha_p(D) = n$ for all $p \geq 1$. Suppose $f \in F[D,2]$ is a permutation of $\{0,1\}^n$, then all the local functions f_v must be balanced, i.e. $|f_v^{-1}(0)| = |f_v^{-1}(1)|$ for all $v \in V$. Because the in-degree of v is equal to two, say $N_{\mathrm{in}}(v) = \{u_1, u_2\}$, we must have $f_v(x_{u_1}, x_{u_2}) = x_{u_1} + x_{u_2}$ (in GF(2)). Therefore, $f(x) = Mx$, but since every vertex has even in-degree, the sum of all rows in M (in GF(2)) equals zero and M is singular. □

3 Upper bound on the maximum rank

We now review the communication model based on terms from logic introduced by Riis and Gadouleau in [16]. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}$ be a set of variables and consider a set of function symbols $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_l\}$ with respective arities (numbers of arguments) $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l$. A **term** is defined to be an object obtained from applying function symbols to variables recursively. We say that u is a subterm of t if the term u appears in the definition of t. Furthermore, u is a **direct subterm** of t if $t = f_j(v_1, \ldots, u, \ldots, v_{d_j})$, and we denote it by $u \prec t$.

Let $\Gamma = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_r\}$ be a set of terms built on variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$ and function symbols $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_l$ of respective arities $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_l$. We denote the set of variables that occur in terms in Γ as Γ_{var} and the collection of subterms of one or more terms in Γ as Γ_{sub}. To the term set Γ we associate the digraph $G_\Gamma = (V = \Gamma_{\mathrm{sub}}, E = \{(u,v) : u \prec v\})$. The set of sources in G_Γ is Γ_{var} and the set of sinks is Γ. The min-cut of Γ is the minimum size of a vertex cut of G_Γ between Γ_{var} and Γ.

An **interpretation** for Γ over $[q]$ is an assignment of the function symbols $\psi = \{\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2, \ldots, \bar{f}_l\}$, where $\bar{f}_i : [q]^{d_i} \to [q]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. We note that $\bar{f}_i$ may not depend essentially on all its d_i variables. Once all the function symbols f_i are assigned functions $\bar{f}_i$, then by composition each term $t_j \in \Gamma$ is assigned a function $\bar{t}_j : [q]^k \to [q]$. We shall abuse notations and also denote the **induced mapping** of the interpretation as $\psi : [q]^k \to [q]^r$, defined as

$$\psi(a) = \big(\bar{t}_1(a), \bar{t}_2(a), \ldots, \bar{t}_r(a)\big).$$

Theorem 2. *[[16] with our notation] Let Γ be a term set with min-cut of ρ and ψ be an interpretation for Γ over $[q]$, then*

$$\mathrm{srk}(\psi) \leq \rho.$$

Lemma 1. *For any $p \geq 1$ and $\bar{f} \in F(D,q)$, $\mathrm{srk}(\bar{f}^p) \leq \alpha_p(D)$.*

Proof. For all $v \in V$, denoting $N_{\mathrm{in}}(v; D) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}$ sorted in increasing order, we have $\bar{f}_v(x) = \bar{f}_v(x_{u_1}, \ldots, x_{u_k})$. By definition, $\bar{f}^p$ is the induced mapping of an interpretation for $\Gamma^p = \{t_1^p, \ldots, t_n^p\}$, where $\Gamma^0 = X = \{t_1^0 = x_1, \ldots, t_n^0 = x_n\}$ and for all $1 \leq s \leq p$,

$$t_v^s = f_v(t_{u_1}^{s-1}, \ldots, t_{u_k}^{s-1}).$$

The graph $G_{\Gamma^p} = (V^p, E^p)$ is then given by

$$V^p = \Gamma^0 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma^p$$
$$E^p = \{(t_w^{s-1}, t_v^s) : 1 \leq s \leq p, w \in N_{\mathrm{in}}(v; D)\}.$$

A flow in G_{Γ^p} is a set of vertex-disjoint paths from Γ^0 to Γ^p. Such a path is of the form $t_W = (t^0_{w_0}, \ldots, t^p_{w_p})$ where $w_{s-1} \in N_{\text{in}}(w_s; D)$; it naturally induces a walk in D: $W = (w_0, \ldots, w_p)$. Since the paths t_W and $t_{W'}$ are vertex-disjoint, the corresponding walks W and W' are independent. Therefore, the max-flow of G_{Γ^p} is at most $\alpha_p(D)$. By the max-flow min-cut theorem and Theorem 2, $\text{srk}(\bar{f}^p) \leq \alpha_p(D)$. □

4 Achieving the upper bound

Edmonds gave a formula for $\alpha_1(D)$ in [4], based on the König-Ore formula:

$$\alpha_1(D) = n - \max\{|S| - |N_{\text{in}}(S)| : S \subseteq V\}.$$

This was greatly generalised by Poljak, who showed that $\alpha_p(D)$ could be computed in polynomial time and who gave a formula for $\alpha_p(D)$ for all $p \geq 1$ in [12]. Suppose that $C_1, \ldots, C_r, P_1, \ldots, P_s$ are vertex-disjoint cycles and paths. The cycle $C_i = (c_0, \ldots, c_{l-1})$ produces l independent p-walks of the form $W_a = (c_a, c_{a+1}, \ldots, c_{a+p-1})$, where indices are computed mod l and $0 \leq a \leq l-1$. The path $P_j = (d_0, \ldots, d_{m-1})$ produces $m - p$ independent p-walks of the form $W_b = (d_b, d_{b+1}, \ldots, d_{b+p-1})$, where $0 \leq d \leq m - p - 1$. Poljak's theorem asserts that this is the optimal way of producing pairwise independent p-walks. We denote the number of vertices of a cycle C and of a path P as $|C|$ and $|P|$, respectively.

Theorem 3 ([12])**.** *For every digraph D and a positive integer p,*

$$\alpha_p(D) = \max\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{r} |C_i| + \sum_{j=1}^{s} (|P_j| - p)\right\},$$

where the maximum is taken over all families of pairwise vertex-disjoint cycles and paths $C_1, \ldots, C_r, P_1, \ldots, P_s$.

Corollary 3. *For all $p \geq n$,*

$$\alpha_p(D) = \alpha_n(D) = \max\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{r} |C_i|\right\},$$

where the maximum is taken over all families of pairwise vertex-disjoint cycles.

Let $W_1, \ldots, W_\alpha$ be $\alpha := \alpha_p(D)$ independent walks of length p; we denote $W_i = (w_{i,0}, \ldots, w_{i,p})$ and $W = \{w_{i,s} : 1 \leq i \leq \alpha, 0 \leq s \leq p\}$. By construction, if w precedes w' on one walk and w' appears on another walk and has a predecessor there, then w precedes w' in the other walk as well. We also let $U = V \setminus W$ be the set of vertices which do not belong to any of these walks and for all $0 \leq s \leq p-1$, we denote $W^s = \{w_{i,s} : 1 \leq i \leq \alpha\}$, $U^s = V \setminus W^s$ and $U' = V \setminus (W^1 \cup \cdots \cup W^p)$.

We can now construct the finite dynamical systems which attain the upper bound on the scaled rank. The case $q = 2$ and $f \in F(D, 2)$ is easy. We use a finite dynamical system where $w_{i,s+1}$ simply copies the value $x_{w_{i,s}}$; this will transmit the value $x_{w_{i,0}}$ along the walk W_i.

Lemma 2. *The function $f \in F(D, 2)$ defined as*

$$\begin{aligned} f_{w_{i,s+1}}(x) &= x_{w_{i,s}} && 0 \leq s \leq p-1, 1 \leq i \leq \alpha, \\ f_u(x) &= 0 && \text{if } u \in U', \end{aligned}$$

satisfies $\text{srk}(f^p) = \alpha_p(D)$.

Proof. Let $X = \{x \in [q]^n : x_{U^0} = (0, \ldots, 0)\}$; we then have $\log_q |X| = |W| = \alpha_p(D)$. It is easy to show, by induction on s, that for all $0 \leq s \leq p$, $|f^s_{W^s}(X)| = |X|$. Thus $\text{srk}(f^p) = \alpha_p(D)$. □

For $q \geq 3$ and $f \in F[D, q]$, we use a finite dynamical system where $w_{i,s+1}$ wishes to copy the value $x_{w_{i,s}}$ whenever it can. Each other vertex $u \in N_{\text{in}}(w_{i,s+1})$ has a red light (the value 2). If all lights are red, then $w_{i,s+1}$ cannot copy the value $x_{w_{i,s}}$ any more; instead it flips it from 0 to 1 and vice versa.

Lemma 3. *For $q \geq 3$, the function $f \in F[D, q]$ defined as*

$$f_{w_{i,s+1}}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x_{w_{i,s}} & \text{if } x_{w_{i,s}} \in \{0,1\} \text{ and } x_{N_{\text{in}}(w_{i,s+1}) \setminus w_{i,s}} = (2, \ldots, 2), \\ x_{w_{i,s}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$0 \leq s \leq p-1, 1 \leq i \leq \alpha,$$
$$f_u(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_{N_{\text{in}}(u)} = (1, \ldots, 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$\text{if } u \in U',$$

satisfies $\operatorname{srk}(f^p) = \alpha_p(D)$.

Proof. The proof is similar, albeit more complex, than the one of Lemma 3.

Claim 1. *For all $0 \leq s \leq p-1$, if $x_{W^s} \neq y_{W^s}$ and $x_{U^s}, y_{U^s} \in \{0,1\}^{|U^s|}$, then $f_{W^{s+1}}(x) \neq f_{W^{s+1}}(y)$ and $f_{U^{s+1}}(x), f_{U^{s+1}}(y) \in \{0,1\}^{|U^{s+1}|}$.*

of Claim 1. We prove the first assertion. First, suppose there exists $w_{i,s} \in W^s$ where $x_{w_{i,s}} \geq 2$ and $x_{w_{i,s}} \neq y_{w_{i,s}}$, then

$$f_{w_{i,s+1}}(x) = x_{w_{i,s}} \neq f_{w_{i,s+1}}(y).$$

Second, suppose that for any $w_{i,s} \in W^s$ such that $x_{w_{i,s}} \neq y_{w_{i,s}}$, we have $\{x_{w_{i,s}}, y_{w_{i,s}}\} = \{0,1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{w_{i,s+1}}(x) = 1 - x_{w_{i,s}} &\Leftrightarrow x_{N_{\text{in}}(w_{i,s+1}) \setminus w_{i,s}} = (2, \ldots, 2) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (N_{\text{in}}(w_{i,s+1}) \subseteq W^s) \wedge (y_{N_{\text{in}}(w_{i,s+1}) \setminus w_{i,s}} \neq (2, \ldots, 2)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow f_{w_{i,s+1}}(y) = 1 - y_{w_{i,s}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second assertion, let $v \in U^{s+1}$, then either $v \in U'$ or $v = w_{i,t+1}$ with $0 \leq t \neq s$. If $v \in U'$, then $f_v(x) \in \{0,1\}$ for any x. Suppose that $v = w_{i,t+1}$ such that $f_{w_{i,t+1}} \notin \{0,1\}$. Then $x_{w_{i,t}} \notin \{0,1\}$, which implies $w_{i,t} \in W^s$, say $w_{i,t} = w_{j,s}$; but then, $v = w_{j,s+1} \notin U^{s+1}$. □

Let $X = \{x \in [q]^n : x_{U^0} = (0, \ldots, 0)\}$; we then have $\log_q |X| = |W| = \alpha_p(D)$.

Claim 2. *For all $0 \leq s \leq p$, $|f^s_{W^s}(X)| = |X|$ and for any $x \in X$, $f^s_{U^s}(x) \in \{0,1\}^{|U^s|}$.*

of Claim 2. The proof is by induction on s; the statement is clear for $s = 0$. Suppose it holds for up to s. For any distinct $x, y \in X$, we have $f^s_{W^s}(x) \neq f^s_{W^s}(y)$ and $f^s_{U^s}(x), f^s_{U^s}(y) \in \{0,1\}^{|U^s|}$. By Claim 1, we obtain that $f^{s+1}_{W^{s+1}}(x) \neq f^{s+1}_{W^{s+1}}(y)$ and $f^{s+1}_{U^{s+1}}(x) \in \{0,1\}^{s+1}$. □

□

5 Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council [grant number EP/K033956/1].

References

[1] Julio Aracena, *Maximum number of fixed points in regulatory boolean networks*, Bulletin of mathematical biology **70** (2008), 1398–1409.

[2] Julio Aracena, Jacques Demongeot, and Eric Goles, *Positive and negative circuits in discrete neural networks*, IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks **15** (2004), no. 1, 77–83.

[3] Demetres Christofides and Klas Markström, *The guessing number of undirected graphs*, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **18** (2011), no. 1, 1–19.

[4] Jack Edmonds, *Systems of distinct representatives and linear algebra*, Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards B **71B** (1967), 241–245.

[5] Maximilien Gadouleau, Adrien Richard, and Eric Fanchon, *Reduction and fixed points of boolean networks and linear network coding solvability*, submitted.

[6] Maximilien Gadouleau, Adrien Richard, and Søren Riis, *Fixed points of boolean networks, guessing graphs, and coding theory*, SIAM Journal of Discrete Mathematics (to appear).

[7] E. Goles and S. Martínez, *Neural and automata networks: Dynamical behavior and applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwell, MA, USA, 1990.

[8] Eric Goles and M. Tchuente, *Iterative behaviour of generralized majority functions*, Mathematical Social Sciences **4** (1983), 197–204.

[9] Kevin Gurney, *An introduction to neural networks*, CRC Press, 1997.

[10] J. Hopfield, *Neural networks and physical systems with emergent collective computational abilities*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. U.S.A. **79** (1982), 2554–2558.

[11] Guy Karlebach and Ron Shamir, *Modelling and analysis of gene regulatory networks*, Nature **9** (2008), 770–780.

[12] Svatopluk Poljak, *Maximum rank of powers of a matrix of a given pattern*, Proceedings of the Americal Mathematical Society **106** (1989), no. 4, 1137–1144.

[13] Svatopluk Poljak and Miroslav Sura, *On periodical behaviour in societies with symmetric influences*, Combinatorica **3** (1983), 119–121.

[14] A. Richard, *Positive circuits and maximal number of fixed points in discrete dynamical systems*, Discrete Applied Mathematics **157** (2009), 3281–3288.

[15] Søren Riis, *Information flows, graphs and their guessing numbers*, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **14** (2007), 1–17.

[16] Søren Riis and Maximilien Gadouleau, *Max-flow min-cut theorems for multi-user networks based on term sets from logic*, submitted, available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.5224`.